

Alexandria, VA 23124-1176

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/719,559 03/02/2001 Reinhard Plaschka JEK/PILASCHKA 3460 10/05/2004 EXAMINER Bacon & Thomas FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D 4th Floor 625 Slaters Lane ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1774
DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/719,559	PLASCHKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this accomplisation	Lawrence D. Ferguson	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		·
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2004 FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is required a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of thiser: (1) a timely filed amendme opeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or t	p)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing da		
 b)	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thre arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding amore ened statutory period for reply original	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:	•
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	urther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ould be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because	t for reconsideration has been to reasons of record.	en considered but does NOT place the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claim.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-19 and 21-45</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
B. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b)☐ disapprov	ved by the Examiner.
D. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)
0. Other:		
		•

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/719,559

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant seeks to narrow the scope of the invention by amending claims 18, 29 and 30 to include "an acrylate system as teh sole binder" and new claim 46 to include "acrylates or a mixture of polymers or copolymers having a high acrylate content as a binder".

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1114